

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,016	11/21/2003	Raymond V. Damadian	DAMADIAN 3.0-080	5664
530 7550 01/22/2009 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			EXAMINER	
			CHENG, JACQUELINE	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/721.016 DAMADIAN, RAYMOND V. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JACQUELINE CHENG 3768 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6.8-16 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 8-16 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/721,016 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5, 8-11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olympia Orthopaedic Associates (<a href="http://www.olyortho.com/about/history.php">http://www.olyortho.com/about/history.php</a>) in view of Ralston (US 6,389,454 B1).
- 4. Claims 1-5, 8-10: To have multiple MRI scanners at one facility is well known in the art in order to be able to process as many patients as possible in as short of a time as possible. In particular having both an extremity MRI scanner and a full body MRI scanner at a facility has been in public use in this country for more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States by Olympia Orthopaedic Associates. Olympia Orthopaedic Associates discloses adding a Full-Body Open MRI to its practice in 1993, and an extremity MRI scanner in 1998 (see http://www.olyortho.com/about/history.php). Although Olympia Orthopaedic

Art Unit: 3768

Associates does not explicitly disclose a system for selectively directing patients to a particular scanner it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to need and to use any well know system for directing patients known in the art such as disclosed by Ralston to make the process as efficient as possible. Ralston disclose a computer-implemented method of directing and scheduling patients to a particular scanner based upon service data such as the specific equipment that is needed (col. 1 line 18-21, col. 4 line 50-51). It would be obvious and common sense that choosing the specific equipment that is needed would depend upon factors such as the anatomy of the patient to be imaged. If one needs to image a foot and an extremity scanner is available it is obvious and common sense to direct the patient to the extremity scanner. If one needs to image a torso it would also be obvious and common sense to direct the patient to the full-body scanner. Once an appointment time is selected the system updates the facility's scheduling system (its queue). The scheduling system is also capable of allocating facilities and resources to walk-in clients, directing the received patients to the proper room with the proper equipment and the proper staff (such as either the full-body MRI or extremity MRI scanner) (col. 6 line 3-27, col. 6 line 52-65).

- 5. Claims 11 and 15: A magnetic imaging apparatus with a magnet defining a horizontal field axis and an imaging volume surrounding the axis having a vertical and horizontal direction is inherent in any MR system.
- Claims 6, 12-14, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olympia Orthopaedic Associates in view of Ralston as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Damadian (US 6.414.490 B1).

Art Unit: 3768

7. Damadian et al. discloses an MRI that can position a patient through a range of orientations from a horizontal to a vertical position (abstract). The positioning device also has a variety of retractable pieces to orientate the patient in any desired orientation relative to the frame, such as in a seated position or a standing position with a footrest to orient the patient in a weight bearing position (col. 5 line 17-25). The device also has the ability to move the patient upwardly and downwardly to allow scanning of essentially any part of the patient (such as the foot) (col. 6 line 8-15). This mobility is achieved by the use of an elevator. Although the device has the ability to move the patient in a range of up to five or six feet, if the area of interest to be imaged is a head or chest, then the support is limited to a range of motion less than 1.5 feet. It would be obvious to combine Damadian with Olympia Orthopaedic Associates as it would be obvious to use any well known full-body open MRI imaging system as the full-body open MRI imaging system in Olympia Orthopaedic Associates.

### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 7,080,025 B2 to Mifune, US 6,603494 B1 to Banks, and US 5748,907 A to Crane disclosing other patient scheduling methods.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,016 Page 5

Art Unit: 3768

10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JACQUELINE CHENG whose telephone number is (571)272-5596. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

12.

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
 Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 3768

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JC

/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768